

WOMAN AND ARTIST.

I thought to win me a name
Should ring in the ear of the world
How can I work with small pink tips
About my fingers curled?
Then add to name and to fame!
They scarce are worth at the best
One touch of this wet little, warm little mouth
With its lips against my breast.
—Miss Williams Brotherton in The Century.

A FAMOUS DUELIST.

About half way up the Rue du Jour, near the St. Eustache church, in Paris, is an old house, rendered conspicuous by a wide porch and an extensive stock in trade of china. This, two centuries ago, was the Hotel du Royanmont, built by Philippe Hurault, bishop of Chartres and abbot of Royanmont. Later on it was occupied by Francois de Montmorency, Comte de Bouteville, who made it a generous rendezvous for the duellists in Paris. All the gentlemen of the court, eager to challenge any of their peers over some love intrigue, or who for some personal motive looked daggers at each other on the Place Royale or the Cour la Reine, met at the mansion in the Rue du Jour. Here they were hospitably received and entertained; they were offered a cold collation with wine and liquors before entering the lists, and those who had forgotten to bring weapons were provided with a goodly selection of polished steel. Throughout the morning there was an incessant clash of blades, each thrust and parry being watched with intense interest by veterans, who, after old scores had been wiped off, and the recent surgeon had bandaged the combatants' wounds, were invited, with the duellists and their seconds, to luncheon with the Comte de Bouteville.

It would doubtless be a vain quest to seek, nowadays, for a single representative of this defiant race of duellists, a race to which Choquet eventually belonged. He must have had ancestors among the exquisites of the reign of Louis XIII, the swash bucklers of the Hotel de Royanmont, or the splendid corps of musketeers of Louis XV. Choquet's mania for duelling, his ever recurring provocations to decide a difference at the sword's point made him a public character, and his reputation was perhaps heightened rather than diminished by the fact that his most terrible challenges were unable to withstand the offer of a peaceful solution over a bowl of punch. His guileless talk and southern accent, his peculiar way of hopping and other physical oddities, gave to his daily Odyssey a smack of the most genuine comic buffoonery.

When the mania for fighting was strong within him it was difficult to evade his mood. One day he would enter a coffee house, take a seat and say to a near neighbor:
"After you, The Figaro, please."

"But," he would pointly respond, "it is not The Figaro but The Constitutionnel that I am reading."

"Oh! you, sir, or by God! I'll teach you better manners."

On another occasion he would introduce a like scene after this fashion:
"Now, don't keep staring at me in that offensive manner, please!"

"I," expostulated the customer, "Lord bless me, sir, I didn't even see you. I was looking the other way."

"Oh! then I am a liar, am I?" And Choquet would rise from his seat in a threatening attitude.

Even the most peaceful person could scarcely put up with such insolence. They felt like knocking up their sleeves and knocking Choquet down. Nor did he fail, at times, to meet with his deserts. He more than once stumbled on a Tartar. His best known scrape that way is worth relating. Choquet one day entered a courtyard to challenge a master builder, who was pumping water at a fountain. The master builder looked up surprised, caught hold of Choquet by the scruff of his neck, doubled him up, put him under the pump and washed him like a dead rat.

The story of Choquet's adventures would fill a volume, but I will relate only one, wherein I acted as his second.

One night, at a masked ball, Choquet quarreled with a Turk. Cards were exchanged. The following day Choquet, with his two seconds, went to his adversary's house. The Turk of the previous evening turned out to be a well-to-do apothecary, who arrived on business in the Saint Martin quarter. On entering the premises Choquet inquired after M. Balin.

"What can I do for you?" asked a young and pretty woman, who came forward from the back of the shop.

"Stuff and nonsense! I don't like joking in matters of serious importance. My name is Choquet. I come for an affair of honor. A gentleman should not be made to wait in this manner. Your husband is an ill bred dog."

"Oh, excuse me, now I know what brings you. This is what I have to say. My husband went to yesterday to spend the carnival, and it has made him ill. He is in bed, and expects blood."

"Dear me," remarked Choquet, turning toward his seconds, "what a mischance! He gets blood, did you say?"

"Alas! yes, sir," answered the young woman, who seemed much affected, "and the doctor says that he has not six months to live."

"Dear me!" went on repeating Choquet, "six months! How shall we settle matters, then? I have six months to live. Well, madame, I'm not a bad fellow, whatever others may think. Now listen to what I have to say. We are in January, aren't we? Just so. Well, I'll give your husband six months to be buried in. I shall call around and pay my respects six months hence. If, in July next, your husband isn't dead and buried, I'll treat him as a knave and deceiver, and plant his name in all the barracks of Paris."

This threat, which constantly fell from Choquet's lips, was a reminder of his soldier life. The thought never suggested itself that an upholsterer might not care the jingle of a brass farthing whether his name was placarded or not in all the barracks of the country.

One fine afternoon in July of that same year, Choquet took hold of my arm at the Varieties coffee house, and said:
"Come along with me, old boy; I have a small matter which I really must clear up without further loss of time."

We took a road which led toward the Saint Martin quarter, and, as we walked along, Choquet entered circumstantially into the particulars of the case. The upholsterer's day of reckoning had arrived, and Choquet was bent on finding out whether his former Turk had paid the funeral debt of six months previously by his wife.

"If," soliloquized Choquet, "the rogue is still alive, I'll cut off both his ears, you know. I'm justified in so doing, am I not?"

"Of course you are, my dear fellow. But, let me ask, the thing occurred long ago, didn't it, and in the carnival season? And again, what did the fellow do to warrant such a feud?"

"What did he do, the villain? Just listen and I'll tell you. I was at a masked ball given at the Renaissance theatre. I walked into the greenroom in my dress suit. I am spare of limb, as you can see. Suddenly a Turk stopped directly in front of me and bawled out: 'Hallo, there goes the Fat Ox!'

Make way, please, for the Fat Ox! Every body roared at this sally. I was downright vexed, as you may suppose. So I made up to him and said: 'My merry friend, at noon tomorrow you shall be a dead man!'

"He was in the wrong, certainly," I pleaded, "to insinuate so infamous a comparison between a thin man like you and a fat ox; but—"

We had reached our destination. Entering the shop, we came upon M. Balin, the upholsterer, who, all budding and blooming, was busy working at a parcel of goods.

"Oh, that's your little game, isn't it?" began Choquet, as soon as he set his eyes on his intemperate victim. "You're alive, then? I thought as much. But you don't play the monkey with me any longer, Mister Turk; you've caught the wrong sow by the ear this time, let me tell you!"

"M. Choquet!" exclaimed the merchant. "Yes, sir, my name is Choquet—Choquet, do you hear, sir?—who'll have none of this tomfoolery. Your wife—where is she, your wife? She's young and pretty, but wants to run a rig upon me. Your wife, I say, and that you were once my last night's egg, and would be as dead as a herring in less than six months, and here you are, alive and kicking. Now, is that the way you keep your engagements?"

"Ah! M. Choquet," rejoined the merchant, who had somewhat recovered from his first fright, "I have been ill, very ill, indeed. You'll never see me don the Turkish garb again. 'Tis over now. So let me ask you to forgive and forget any improper thing I may have said on that eventful night."

"The moment," said Choquet, "is not quite so fast, please. Do you tender your excuses in the regular form?"

"Faith, I don't quite understand what form that is. But this I know, for I have inquired about you and learned that you were a right good fellow. Come, I have a roasted leg of mutton with kidney beans. Will you do me the honor to dine with me, you and your friend?"

My wife will be overjoyed. Aggie, why don't you come? Here is M. Choquet who accepts an invitation to dine with us."

Of course I nodded assent, while it was not difficult to read on Choquet's relaxing countenance that the roasted leg of mutton had found the way to his heart.

"Then, again," added M. Balin, who now felt that he had the game in his own hands, "I have a certain Madeira about which I would like to have your opinion, M. Choquet."

"You have no Madeira, sir," retorted Choquet, with a deep frown over his eyelids.

"But—"

"I say you have no Madeira, sir," exclaimed the duelist, raising his voice and gestulating like a madman. "And please take notice that I am not to be contradicted on this point. I have drunk but one glass of genuine Madeira during the whole course of my life. 'Twas at the Tuileries. Yes, sir, I was just recovered from sickness, and I was on duty at the king's dinner."

A glass of Madeira having been turned out for Louis XVIII, his majesty, turning toward the cup bearer, said: "Hand that to Choquet, and give him my compliments. Do you hear me now?"

"But, Monsieur Choquet, I assure you,"—"I say that you have no Madeira, sir," screamed Choquet, who had grown furious, and brought his hand down with terrific force on the wooden counter. "If you once more dare to say that you have Madeira when I'll tear your head clean off from your shoulders!—And what else did you say, you said?"

"Well," said the merchant, who was somewhat staggered at this sudden fit of passion, "I've a leg of mutton with kidney beans."

"A leg of mutton," said Choquet, in a soft tone of voice, "that's good, when it's roasted. But I'm confident 'twill be overdone. Have you got such a thing as a spit?"

"A spit! I should say I had," burst out M. Balin, with kindling eyes. "Only just pass this way, gentlemen, and see for yourselves."

The merchant led us into a comfortable back shop, which answered the purpose of a dining room. There on the hearth, in front of a bright blazing fire, a fine leg of mutton majestically turned on a spit, like the planet round the sun.

"That looks nice," remarked Choquet, after a moment of silent contemplation. "You are not altogether an idiot. A man who knows the worth of a spit deserves to live. But why don't you taste your leg of mutton?"

"So saying Choquet took up the spit and began pouring over the meat the rich steaming juice. At that moment the merchant's wife came in.

"Ah, good day, madame, good day to you!" said Choquet, as he bent over and deluged the savory roast. "Well, you see what has happened. Your husband isn't dead after all. Dear me, how shall we get to arrange the matter? The very provoking, very."

"Alas, sir," was a severe trial. God, in his goodness, has spared his life. I trust the soon will be of service to him."

"God, in his goodness!" went on muttering Choquet. "That's all very well. But we haven't settled our little difficulty as yet."

"Come now, Choquet," said I, interrupting him pretty sharply, "we've had enough on that score. M. Balin has tendered you his best excuses in my presence, and cordially invites you to dinner; what more do you want?"

"Dear me," said Choquet, still fascinated by the leg of mutton, "I do think it is beginning to turn at the joint."

The difficulty was now over, and the duelist completely disarmed. We all had dinner. Choquet recounted his duels to the upholsterer, and drank with great gusto his "spurious" Madeira.

Choquet died in poverty. For over twenty years he had lived on a small pension granted him by the Comte de Chambord. When, however, he received 500 francs, his wife was to give his friends a supper which cost the same sum, so that certain days of the year he went supperless to bed. Still, he was extremely punctilious in money matters.—Boston Courier Translation from the French of Auguste Villermot.

Shooting at the Shah's Yacht.

The ship of Persia is coming to Europe next April, and will visit all of the principal capitals, invitations having been received at Tenebra from London, Petersburg and Paris. The Shah has spent the summer at his dignity will permit him to cross the Canadian sea in a Russian steamer. The Persian flag was formerly paramount in these waters, but it has seldom been seen in the Caspian since a tragic affair which recently occurred at Backu. The Shah's yacht was entering the harbor with the Persian flag flying, when a shot was fired from the fort, which struck the water near her. The Persian captain thought he was being saluted by the Russians, and pursued his course; but presently three more shots came in rapid succession, each one in more dangerous proximity to the vessel, whereupon he hauled down the flag. The Russians are morbidly tenacious on such points in eastern waters, but this manifestation of zeal was regarded as ill timed at St. Petersburg, the obnoxious vessel being a royal yacht, and it is said that the Shah was enraged that he caused his luckless captain's head to be chopped off.—Laganon Truth.

Russia will celebrate, on Oct. 26, the fiftieth anniversary of the opening of her first railroad. The country has now 17,000 miles of railroad.

MUSIC HATH CHARMS

TO DRAW THE SOUL AWAY FROM THE WORLD'S WICKEDNESS.

A Theory of Music as a Moral Force. Effects of Music in the Home—Two Young Collegians—The Idle of the City.

It is wiser to prevent than to punish crime. Among the many worthy schemes for the prevention of crime, such as the Waifs' Mission, Newsboys' Home, Home for the Friendless, Foundlings' Home, etc., music has been more or less utilized, but has never been treated as a remedy in and of itself. It is easy to show how it may be made use of as a separate factor in the moral disease of our body politic.

First, then, idleness and vice are closer related than poverty and vice, for, as Emerson says, "a man's daily task is his salvation," and a busy poor man is less liable to temptation than a rich idler. It is to occupy the attention of those who are by force of circumstances or choice idle that the government should exert itself. The Roman rulers recognized this principle and gladiatorial contests, great sham sea fights and festivals were arranged to amuse the people. European dynasties carry out the same plan in different forms. Bands of music parade regularly and play in the open squares of all the large and many small cities of the continent, for the astute monarchs well know that the people forget their misery and poverty in the enjoyment of the music, and at the same time a patriotic feeling is awakened by military pomp and national hymns. It may be too much to say that Germany conquered France with "Die Wacht am Rhein," but no one can tell what might have occurred if the French soldiers could have had a new vigorous patriotic song to have marched to battle with as did the Germans. As surely as the patriotic sentiment should be cultivated, so sure is it that music should be encouraged. But it is of music in the home, at the same time, that we should chiefly speak, for the hearthstone is the nursery of the nation, the cradle of honor or vice. Here is a family whose parents do not sing or play any instrument; their children grow up, and the ordinary games are seen worn out. A neighboring saloon has a fine barrel organ; here they congregate as often as expedient. Or some neighbor's boy has a mouth organ; they will crowd around him, follow him, and, charmed out of mischief, will pass many an innocent hour in so much delight as a post ever dreamed of. But they have no music at home, and when they can't pick up some few itinerant strains they roam about, soon become petty thieves, and in time are mustered in at the Bridewell and join the army at the penitentiary. Another family picture in the same strata of life: The father plays the "violin," the mother learned to sing a little, and though the voice never knew the meaning of that mysterious phrase, "false building," yet she could sing Sunday school tunes, a few comic songs, per lups, and a ballad or two like "Way Down Upon the Swanne River." After supper and on Sundays the children, and now and then a neighbor's children, gather around and are led through the mazes of "Virginia Reel," "Fishers' Hornpipe," or some "Carnival of Venice" with variations, while the mother's voice sounds sweeter to the little ones than Patti's as she sings her favorite song or leads in some hymn, like "Rock of Ages, Clift for Me," in which all can join. These children spend their evenings mostly at home. Soon the oldest learns to play a flute, and by great economy a cabinet organ is provided for the sister, so that a family orchestra is finally established, and the years roll around while these hearts expand in harmony and the waves of temptations beat in vain against this fortress of music.

These are pictures among the poor. Among the rich it is worse, because the life is more complex. Take the career of two young men sent to college at the same age. One had parents who sang in church, had their children sing at home and even had them instructed in piano playing (to be sure, the teacher was a poor girl, whom they patronized from a feeling of charity; and her instruction was very mild. The other didn't like music, endured it only at church as a necessary evil, taught his boy that all music was either "fools' or worse, etc." The first child away his spare hours at college with piano playing, joined the glee club and took a pride in his music as an accomplishment. He comes home, and the first thing after settling down his mother finds him at the piano singing some college songs. He goes to church as much for the music as the sermon, and joins in the hymns; is on good terms with the organist, cultivates the acquaintance of Professor Blank, the pianist, and finally joins an amateur musical club, where he spends one night each week regularly.

The other boy is a good sportsman, with a liberal hand in gambling. His muscle is the largest in his class. He knows all the best games, best prize fighters and fastest horses in the country. Upon his arrival at home the club house of the pool room is his first care, and then the races, and the companionship of fast men. It is but one step more to the companionship of questionable characters, and if this young man does not turn up in the police court some morning under an assumed name it will be strange or owing to stinginess or special providence.

There are hundreds and thousands of idle men in a city like Chicago. Is it not better to occupy their thoughts with music than to leave them to brood over their misfortunes and rub the salt of their poor opinions until they become sores on the body politic? A city band of music performing each day in a public place would draw to it many who would otherwise be in mischief, and it would pay to engage thirty or forty men by the year to play regularly every day.

We hire a small army of men to keep filth and garbage from accumulation in our streets. Is not the accumulation of mental and moral garbage just as dangerous? The pure and inspiring effect of a good band of music will act as a disinfectant, purifying the condition of mental depravity as no other medium can. Again, scores of men are engaged in beautifying our parks and drives, which the poor cannot enjoy because they are so far away. If the money of the taxpayers can thus be used to pay for flowers to delight the sense of sight of rich people, who own carriages, can it not be justly appropriated to buy music for the poor? It is time our people began to think of these things, and consider if it is not as wise to amuse the poor as to entertain the rich; if it is not wiser to prevent than to punish crime.—Chicago Herald.

In a Hotel Lobby.

A man who spent three hours the other day in the lobby of the Palmer house, Chicago, gives the following statistics about the people who came in during that time: One-legged men who came in, 47; one armed men, 18; men who wore glasses, 40; men who wore mustaches, 100; men who wore full beards, 50; men who would draw to it many who would otherwise be in mischief, and it would pay to engage thirty or forty men by the year to play regularly every day.

We hire a small army of men to keep filth and garbage from accumulation in our streets. Is not the accumulation of mental and moral garbage just as dangerous? The pure and inspiring effect of a good band of music will act as a disinfectant, purifying the condition of mental depravity as no other medium can. Again, scores of men are engaged in beautifying our parks and drives, which the poor cannot enjoy because they are so far away. If the money of the taxpayers can thus be used to pay for flowers to delight the sense of sight of rich people, who own carriages, can it not be justly appropriated to buy music for the poor? It is time our people began to think of these things, and consider if it is not as wise to amuse the poor as to entertain the rich; if it is not wiser to prevent than to punish crime.—Chicago Herald.

In a Hotel Lobby.

A man who spent three hours the other day in the lobby of the Palmer house, Chicago, gives the following statistics about the people who came in during that time: One-legged men who came in, 47; one armed men, 18; men who wore glasses, 40; men who wore mustaches, 100; men who wore full beards, 50; men who would draw to it many who would otherwise be in mischief, and it would pay to engage thirty or forty men by the year to play regularly every day.

We hire a small army of men to keep filth and garbage from accumulation in our streets. Is not the accumulation of mental and moral garbage just as dangerous? The pure and inspiring effect of a good band of music will act as a disinfectant, purifying the condition of mental depravity as no other medium can. Again, scores of men are engaged in beautifying our parks and drives, which the poor cannot enjoy because they are so far away. If the money of the taxpayers can thus be used to pay for flowers to delight the sense of sight of rich people, who own carriages, can it not be justly appropriated to buy music for the poor? It is time our people began to think of these things, and consider if it is not as wise to amuse the poor as to entertain the rich; if it is not wiser to prevent than to punish crime.—Chicago Herald.

In a Hotel Lobby.

A man who spent three hours the other day in the lobby of the Palmer house, Chicago, gives the following statistics about the people who came in during that time: One-legged men who came in, 47; one armed men, 18; men who wore glasses, 40; men who wore mustaches, 100; men who wore full beards, 50; men who would draw to it many who would otherwise be in mischief, and it would pay to engage thirty or forty men by the year to play regularly every day.

We hire a small army of men to keep filth and garbage from accumulation in our streets. Is not the accumulation of mental and moral garbage just as dangerous? The pure and inspiring effect of a good band of music will act as a disinfectant, purifying the condition of mental depravity as no other medium can. Again, scores of men are engaged in beautifying our parks and drives, which the poor cannot enjoy because they are so far away. If the money of the taxpayers can thus be used to pay for flowers to delight the sense of sight of rich people, who own carriages, can it not be justly appropriated to buy music for the poor? It is time our people began to think of these things, and consider if it is not as wise to amuse the poor as to entertain the rich; if it is not wiser to prevent than to punish crime.—Chicago Herald.

In a Hotel Lobby.

A man who spent three hours the other day in the lobby of the Palmer house, Chicago, gives the following statistics about the people who came in during that time: One-legged men who came in, 47; one armed men, 18; men who wore glasses, 40; men who wore mustaches, 100; men who wore full beards, 50; men who would draw to it many who would otherwise be in mischief, and it would pay to engage thirty or forty men by the year to play regularly every day.

We hire a small army of men to keep filth and garbage from accumulation in our streets. Is not the accumulation of mental and moral garbage just as dangerous? The pure and inspiring effect of a good band of music will act as a disinfectant, purifying the condition of mental depravity as no other medium can. Again, scores of men are engaged in beautifying our parks and drives, which the poor cannot enjoy because they are so far away. If the money of the taxpayers can thus be used to pay for flowers to delight the sense of sight of rich people, who own carriages, can it not be justly appropriated to buy music for the poor? It is time our people began to think of these things, and consider if it is not as wise to amuse the poor as to entertain the rich; if it is not wiser to prevent than to punish crime.—Chicago Herald.

In a Hotel Lobby.

A man who spent three hours the other day in the lobby of the Palmer house, Chicago, gives the following statistics about the people who came in during that time: One-legged men who came in, 47; one armed men, 18; men who wore glasses, 40; men who wore mustaches, 100; men who wore full beards, 50; men who would draw to it many who would otherwise be in mischief, and it would pay to engage thirty or forty men by the year to play regularly every day.

We hire a small army of men to keep filth and garbage from accumulation in our streets. Is not the accumulation of mental and moral garbage just as dangerous? The pure and inspiring effect of a good band of music will act as a disinfectant, purifying the condition of mental depravity as no other medium can. Again, scores of men are engaged in beautifying our parks and drives, which the poor cannot enjoy because they are so far away. If the money of the taxpayers can thus be used to pay for flowers to delight the sense of sight of rich people, who own carriages, can it not be justly appropriated to buy music for the poor? It is time our people began to think of these things, and consider if it is not as wise to amuse the poor as to entertain the rich; if it is not wiser to prevent than to punish crime.—Chicago Herald.

In a Hotel Lobby.

A man who spent three hours the other day in the lobby of the Palmer house, Chicago, gives the following statistics about the people who came in during that time: One-legged men who came in, 47; one armed men, 18; men who wore glasses, 40; men who wore mustaches, 100; men who wore full beards, 50; men who would draw to it many who would otherwise be in mischief, and it would pay to engage thirty or forty men by the year to play regularly every day.

We hire a small army of men to keep filth and garbage from accumulation in our streets. Is not the accumulation of mental and moral garbage just as dangerous? The pure and inspiring effect of a good band of music will act as a disinfectant, purifying the condition of mental depravity as no other medium can. Again, scores of men are engaged in beautifying our parks and drives, which the poor cannot enjoy because they are so far away. If the money of the taxpayers can thus be used to pay for flowers to delight the sense of sight of rich people, who own carriages, can it not be justly appropriated to buy music for the poor? It is time our people began to think of these things, and consider if it is not as wise to amuse the poor as to entertain the rich; if it is not wiser to prevent than to punish crime.—Chicago Herald.

In a Hotel Lobby.

A man who spent three hours the other day in the lobby of the Palmer house, Chicago, gives the following statistics about the people who came in during that time: One-legged men who came in, 47; one armed men, 18; men who wore glasses, 40; men who wore mustaches, 100; men who wore full beards, 50; men who would draw to it many who would otherwise be in mischief, and it would pay to engage thirty or forty men by the year to play regularly every day.

We hire a small army of men to keep filth and garbage from accumulation in our streets. Is not the accumulation of mental and moral garbage just as dangerous? The pure and inspiring effect of a good band of music will act as a disinfectant, purifying the condition of mental depravity as no other medium can. Again, scores of men are engaged in beautifying our parks and drives, which the poor cannot enjoy because they are so far away. If the money of the taxpayers can thus be used to pay for flowers to delight the sense of sight of rich people, who own carriages, can it not be justly appropriated to buy music for the poor? It is time our people began to think of these things, and consider if it is not as wise to amuse the poor as to entertain the rich; if it is not wiser to prevent than to punish crime.—Chicago Herald.

In a Hotel Lobby.

A man who spent three hours the other day in the lobby of the Palmer house, Chicago, gives the following statistics about the people who came in during that time: One-legged men who came in, 47; one armed men, 18; men who wore glasses, 40; men who wore mustaches, 100; men who wore full beards, 50; men who would draw to it many who would otherwise be in mischief, and it would pay to engage thirty or forty men by the year to play regularly every day.

We hire a small army of men to keep filth and garbage from accumulation in our streets. Is not the accumulation of mental and moral garbage just as dangerous? The pure and inspiring effect of a good band of music will act as a disinfectant, purifying the condition of mental depravity as no other medium can. Again, scores of men are engaged in beautifying our parks and drives, which the poor cannot enjoy because they are so far away. If the money of the taxpayers can thus be used to pay for flowers to delight the sense of sight of rich people, who own carriages, can it not be justly appropriated to buy music for the poor? It is time our people began to think of these things, and consider if it is not as wise to amuse the poor as to entertain the rich; if it is not wiser to prevent than to punish crime.—Chicago Herald.

In a Hotel Lobby.

A man who spent three hours the other day in the lobby of the Palmer house, Chicago, gives the following statistics about the people who came in during that time: One-legged men who came in, 47; one armed men, 18; men who wore glasses, 40; men who wore mustaches, 100; men who wore full beards, 50; men who would draw to it many who would otherwise be in mischief, and it would pay to engage thirty or forty men by the year to play regularly every day.

We hire a small army of men to keep filth and garbage from accumulation in our streets. Is not the accumulation of mental and moral garbage just as dangerous? The pure and inspiring effect of a good band of music will act as a disinfectant, purifying the condition of mental depravity as no other medium can. Again, scores of men are engaged in beautifying our parks and drives, which the poor cannot enjoy because they are so far away. If the money of the taxpayers can thus be used to pay for flowers to delight the sense of sight of rich people, who own carriages, can it not be justly appropriated to buy music for the poor? It is time our people began to think of these things, and consider if it is not as wise to amuse the poor as to entertain the rich; if it is not wiser to prevent than to punish crime.—Chicago Herald.

In a Hotel Lobby.

A man who spent three hours the other day in the lobby of the Palmer house, Chicago, gives the following statistics about the people who came in during that time: One-legged men who came in, 47; one armed men, 18; men who wore glasses, 40; men who wore mustaches, 100; men who wore full beards, 50; men who would draw to it many who would otherwise be in mischief, and it would pay to engage thirty or forty men by the year to play regularly every day.

We hire a small army of men to keep filth and garbage from accumulation in our streets. Is not the accumulation of mental and moral garbage just as dangerous? The pure and inspiring effect of a good band of music will act as a disinfectant, purifying the condition of mental depravity as no other medium can. Again, scores of men are engaged in beautifying our parks and drives, which the poor cannot enjoy because they are so far away. If the money of the taxpayers can thus be used to pay for flowers to delight the sense of sight of rich people, who own carriages, can it not be justly appropriated to buy music for the poor? It is time our people began to think of these things, and consider if it is not as wise to amuse the poor as to entertain the rich; if it is not wiser to prevent than to punish crime.—Chicago Herald.

In a Hotel Lobby.

A man who spent three hours the other day in the lobby of the Palmer house, Chicago, gives the following statistics about the people who came in during that time: One-legged men who came in, 47; one armed men, 18; men who wore glasses, 40; men who wore mustaches, 100; men who wore full beards, 50; men who would draw to it many who would otherwise be in mischief, and it would pay to engage thirty or forty men by the year to play regularly every day.

We hire a small army of men to keep filth and garbage from accumulation in our streets. Is not the accumulation of mental and moral garbage just as dangerous? The pure and inspiring effect of a good band of music will act as a disinfectant, purifying the condition of mental depravity as no other medium can. Again, scores of men are engaged in beautifying our parks and drives, which the poor cannot enjoy because they are so far away. If the money of the taxpayers can thus be used to pay for flowers to delight the sense of sight of rich people, who own carriages, can it not be justly appropriated to buy music for the poor? It is time our people began to think of these things, and consider if it is not as wise to amuse the poor as to entertain the rich; if it is not wiser to prevent than to punish crime.—Chicago Herald.

In a Hotel Lobby.

A man who spent three hours the other day in the lobby of the Palmer house, Chicago, gives the following statistics about the people who came in during that time: One-legged men who came in, 47; one armed men, 18; men who wore glasses, 40; men who wore mustaches, 100; men who wore full beards, 50; men who would draw to it many who would otherwise be in mischief, and it would pay to engage thirty or forty men by the year to play regularly every day.

We hire a small army of men to keep filth and garbage from accumulation in our streets. Is not the accumulation of mental and moral garbage just as dangerous? The pure and inspiring effect of a good band of music will act as a disinfectant, purifying the condition of mental depravity as no other medium can. Again, scores of men are engaged in beautifying our parks and drives, which the poor cannot enjoy because they are so far away. If the money of the taxpayers can thus be used to pay for flowers to delight the sense of sight of rich people, who own carriages, can it not be justly appropriated to buy music for the poor? It is time our people began to think of these things, and consider if it is not as wise to amuse the poor as to entertain the rich; if it is not wiser to prevent than to punish crime.—Chicago Herald.

In a Hotel Lobby.

A man who spent three hours the other day in the lobby of the Palmer house, Chicago, gives the following statistics about the people who came in during that time: One-legged men who came in, 47; one armed men, 18; men who wore glasses, 40; men who wore mustaches, 100; men who wore full beards, 50; men who would draw to it many who would otherwise be in mischief, and it would pay to engage thirty or forty men by the year to play regularly every day.

SHAPELY AND EASILY FITTED.

Hands of Country Girls and the Kind of Gloves They Wear—Gloves for Men.

"How do you find New York ladies' hands?" "Comparatively small," said the girl at the glove counter. "They average between 5 1/2 and 6 1/2, but of course there are exceptions. Why, just before you came in I spent nearly three-quarters of an hour fudging and pulling at a No. 6 glove trying to get it on a hand that needed a 7 1/2. The woman was a society leader, and her diamonds would make me happy enough to leave here and get—well, never mind—that," and she blushed. "She may have worn a 6 five years ago, but she has no use for 6s now. What she wanted was 7 1/2s."

"And what was the other class?" "Oh, yes. They are the country girls. You smile, because you think of large, coarse, red hands smelling of butter and milk. The girls do have a refreshing look, and smell of the country, and I'd rather wear 100 of them, saturated as they are with nature's perfumes, than on one society woman washed in lily of the valley. Honest, I would. Country girls' hands are small and white as any society girl's who never did a stroke of work in her life. I cannot explain it, and I am not going to try, only it is a fact. There's a funny thing about them, too. Their hands are always shapely and easily fitted with gloves. As a usual thing they want plain, bright colors, such as tan, yellow, blue or dark green; the brighter the better for them. The society girl wants something recherché like 'mignonette,' 'putty,' 'ashes of roses,' 'wood tints,' 'moonbeam' and all neutral tints. They must have a glove to match every one of their